UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/531,013                 | 04/12/2005                        | Kwaku Frimpong-Ansah | AT 020061           | 2598             |
|                            | 7590 10/06/200<br>LLECTUAL PROPER | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| P.O. BOX 3001              |                                   | SAINT CYR, LEONARD   |                     |                  |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 |                                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                            |                                   | 2626                 |                     |                  |
|                            |                                   |                      |                     |                  |
|                            |                                   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                            |                                   |                      | 10/06/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)          |  |  |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/531,013        | FRIMPONG-ANSAH, KWAKU |  |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit              |  |  |
| LEONARD SAINT CYR | 2626                  |  |  |

|  | LEONARD SAINT CYR   | 2626   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add   | ress   |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance  | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or   | which places the (3) a Request   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). On which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data. Iliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be a function thereof (37 CFR 41.37 must be a function thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to dithin the time period set forth in 37 country prior to the date of filling a brief, insideration and/or search (see NOTW); | g date of the final rejection of FIRST REPLY WAS FIRST FIRST REPLY WAS FIRST FIRST FIRST FIRST FIRST REPLY WAS FIRST | on.  LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee ate extension fee action; or (2) as even if timely filed, s of the date of e appeal. Since a |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet  | ter form for appeal by materially red   | ducing or simplifying t  | he issues for  |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>Claims 1 -27 require further consideration and/or search because they now recite that voice recognition means for performing voice recognition on the audio data and generatin from the voice recognition means word-marking data, the word-marking data indicating locations of word boundaries between spoken words within the audio data. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</u>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co   | mpliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   | owable if submitted in a separate, t  | imely filed amendmer   | nt canceling the   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   | l be entered and an e.   | xplanation of  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear<br>and was not earlier presented. Se<br>n of the status of the claims after er   | al and/or appellant fail<br>se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1<br>ntry is below or attach  | s to provide a<br>).<br>ed.  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | t does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan  | ce because:  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| /Richemond Dorvil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. Part of Paper No. 20091001